



ALC: NO.

– PROPOSED OPTION 1B WATER MAIN (±590 L.F.)

– PROPOSED OPTION 1A WATER MAIN $(\pm 655 \text{ L.F.})$

600.

A TANK A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	CONCEPT PLAN PRINTS ISSUED FOR: REVIEW	
	DATE	
	REVISIONS	
	ÖZ	
,530 L.F.)		
PROPOSED OPTION 3B WATER MAIN (±1,515 L.F.)	& BUHR, LLC & ENGINEERS ORE · SEAFORD STREET	4WARE 19973 302-628-8350 let.com
	GEORGE, MILES ARCHITECTS & SALISBURY · BALTIN 400 HIGH	SEAFORD, DELA 302-628-1421, FA) ww.gmbr
	DSSING CANAL	ARE
PROPOSED OPTION 34 WATER MAIN	MAIN CRO EHOBOTH LEWES	-Υ, DELAW
(±1,948 L.F.)	EWES & R	EX COUNT
	PROPOSE OF THE L	SUSS
	CONCEPT	
0 100 200 400 600 SCALE: 1" = 200'±	SCALE : 1" = 200'± SHE DESIGN BY : VAL,JWK DRAWN BY : JWK CHECKED BY : VAL,COD GMB FILE : 220183	EET NO. C-1

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1"=30' VERTICAL SCALE: 1"= 30'

40' FROM EDGE OF

OPTION 1A PROFILE



603' (HORIZONTALLY)



Hydraulic Modeling Summary



Lewes Rehoboth Canal Watermain Crossing Summary of Cost for By Option March 2023

Location Option	Cost
OPTION NO. 1-A - PS No. 3/Savannah Road Bridge Area: South side	
Drill	\$1,534,125
OPTION NO. 1-B - PS No. 3/Savannah Road Bridge Area: North side	
Drill	\$1,496,750
OPTION NO. 2-A – Schley Ave Area: Schley Ave to American Legion	
Road to Savannah Road	\$3,055,000
OPTION NO. 2-B – Schley Ave Area: Schley Ave to Cape Henlopen	
Drive	\$3,259,125
OPTION NO. 3-A – Kennedy Property: Kennedy Property to American	
Legion Road to Savannah Road	\$2,567,975
OPTION NO. 3-B – Kennedy Property: Kennedy Property to Cape	
Henlopen Drive	\$2,792,225
OPTION NO. 4 - Queen Anne: Queen Ann to Cedar Ave	\$1,502,500

Table 3: Evaluation	Criteria						
Evaluation Category	Category Weight	Evaluation Criteria	Performance Measure	Criteria Weight	Rating = 1 (Worst)	Rating = 3 (Average)	Rating = 5 (Best)
Permitting and Schedule	15%	Easement Acquisition	Expected need and complexity for easements to accomplish work	2	Greater than other Options	Comparable to other Options	Less than other Options
		Permitting	Expected complexity of permitting work	1	Greater than other Options	Comparable to other Options	Less than other Options
	20%	Stakeholder Impacts During Construction	Temporary impacts to the public during construction related to noise, road closures and other factors	3	Greater than other Options	Comparable to other Options	Less than other Options
community impacts	2070	Constructability	Ease of construction process	2	Greater than other Options	Comparable to other Options	Less than other Options
		Duration of work	Estimated length of project equating to impact to public	1	Greater than other Options	Comparable to other Options	Less than other Options
		Hydrant Fireflows	Impacts to Fire Hydrant Capacities of the 99 hydrants on the beach side	3	Worse Conditions than Existing Condition	Comparable to Existing Condition	Better Conditions than Existing Condition
Hydraulic Benefits	65%	Pressure	Impacts to Minimum Pressures on the Beach Side	2	Worse Conditions than Existing Condition	Comparable to Existing Condition	Better Conditions than Existing Condition
		Water Quality	Impacts to aged water as an indicator of water quality	1	Worse Conditions than Existing Condition	Comparable to Existing Condition	Better Conditions than Existing Condition

Table 5: H	Table 5: Hydraulic Analysis																					
Model Run Criteria		Performance Measure	Weight of Category	Weight of Criteria	Location Option 1 Savannah Cross		n 1 - A: ssing	Location Option 3 - A: Schley to American Legion Road		Location Option 3 - B: Schley to Cape Henlopen Drive			Location Option 4: Queen Anne (16" Crossing)			Location Option 4- A: Queen Anne - Sub A (16" Crossing + 10" Cedar Upsize)			Location Option 4 - B: r Queen Anne - Sub B (16" Crossing + 12" Cedar Upsize)			
					Pating	Score (Weight *	Commonts	Pating	Score (Weight *	Commonts	Pating	Score (Weight	* Commonts	Pating	Score (Weight *	Commonts	Pating	Score (Weight	* Commonts	Pating	Score (Weight *	Commonts
Base Model		Newport On)	50%		Kating	Kating)	Comments	Rating	Kating)	comments	Rating	Rating)	Comments	Rating	Kating)	Comments	Kating	Kating)	Comments	Rating	Kating)	Comments
	Hydrant Fireflows	Impacts to Fire Hydrant Capacities of the 99 hydrants on the beach side		3	4	12	6 hydrants improved	5	15	10 hydrants improved	5	15	14 hydrants improved	4	12	6 hydrants improved	Come benefits as Dass Ontion. Subartices are		on. Suboptions are a	Same benefits as Base Ontion Subontion		
	Pressure	Impacts to Minimum Pressures on the Beach Side		2	3	6		4	8		4	8		3	6		replac	replacment value for Option 2A only			ment value for Op	tion 2A only
	Water Quality	Impacts to aged water as an indicator of water quality		1	2	2		2	2		1	1		3	3	Average age about	C hund	24		C. http://	24	
		Weighted Subtotal (Subt	otal * Model Wei	apt) =	Subtotal =	20		Subtotal =	12.5		Subtotal =	12		Subtotal =	10.5		Subtotal =	10.5		Subtotal =	10.5	
Savannah Roa	d 12" Crossing Off	Weighted Subtotal (Subt	40%		I	10			12.5			12			10.5			10.5			10.5	
	Hydrant Fireflows	Impacts to Fire Hydrant Capacities of the 99 hydrants on the beach side		3	4	12	6 increased, no increases to red topped	4	12	8 increased, no increases to red topped	4	12	11 increased, no increases to red topped	0	0	74 hydrants reduced, 61 are red topped vs 2 existing condition	0	O	29 hydrants reduced, 6 are red topped vs 2 existing condition	1	3	19 hydrants reduced (mostly blue topped), 3 are red topped vs 2 existing condition
	Pressure	Impacts to Minimum Pressures on the Beach Side		2	4	8	Increased psi all locations (43 psi min) vs 41.9 psi in existing condition	4	8	Increased psi all locations (43.3 psi min) vs 41.9 psi in existing condition	4	8	Increased psi all locations (44.7 psi min) vs 41.9 psi in existing condition	1	2	Borderline unacceptable psi (35.6 psi min) in some locations vs 41.9 psi in existing condition	2	4	Reduced psi all locations (39.5 psi min) vs 41.9 psi in existing condition	2	4	Reduced psi all locations (40.5 psi min) vs 41.9 psi in existing condition
	Water Quality	Impacts to aged water as an indicator of water quality		1	2	2		4	4		4	4		4	4		3	3		3	3	
	·				Subtotal =	22		Subtotal =	24		Subtotal =	24		Subtotal =	6		Subtotal =	7		Subtotal =	10	
		Weighted Subtotal (Subt	otal * Model Wei	ght) =		8.8			9.6			9.6		2.4				2.8		4		
Newport 14"	Hydrant Fireflows Pressure Water Quality	Impacts to Fire Hydrant Capacities of the 99 hydrants on the beach side Impacts to Minimum Pressures on the Beach Side Impacts to aged water as an indicator of water quality	10%	3	2 3 2	6 6 2	30 reduced (mostly blue topped), no increases to red topped Increase minimums all but 2 nodes	2 4 2	6 8 2	26 reduced (mostly blue topped), no increases to red topped Increase minimums for all locations	2 4 2	6 8 2	23 reduced (mostly blue topped), no increases to red topped Increase minimums for all locations	3 3 3 3	9 6 3	9 reduced, no increases to red topped Increase minimums all but 2 nodes	Same benefits as Base Option. Suboptions are a replacment value for Option 2A only		Same benefits as Base Option. Suboptions are a replacment value for Option 2A only			
	contraction quantity	,		1	Subtotal =	14		Subtotal =	16		Subtotal =	16		Subtotal =	18		Subtotal =	18		Subtotal =	18	
		Weighted Subtotal (Subt	otal * Model Wei	ght) =		1.4			1.6			1.6			1.8			1.8			1.8	
			Sum of Moig	hted Scores:		20.2			22.7			72.7			147			15 1			16.3	
		Juli of Weig			20.2			23./			23.2			14./			13.1			10.5		

Table 4	Table 4: MCA Evaluation																								
Category	Criteria	Performance Measure	Weight of	Weight of	Location Option 1 - A: Savannah Crossing (South of Drawbridge)			Location Option 1 - B: Savannah Crossing (North of Drawbridge)			Location Option 3 - A: Schley to American Legion Road		Location Option 3 - B: Schley to Cape Henlopen Drive		Location Option 4: Queen Anne (16" Crossing)		n 4: rossing)	Location Option 4- A: Queen Anne - Sub A (16" Crossing + 10" Ced Upsize)		Queen Anne - S	Location Option 4 - I ub B (16" Crossing +	3: 12" Cedar Upsize)			
			Category	Criteria	Rating	Score (Weight Rating)	* Comments	Rating	Score (Weight ' Rating)	* Comments	Rating	Score (Weight ' Rating)	* Comments	Rating	Score (Weight Rating)	* Comments	Rating	Score (Weight Rating)	* Comments	Rating	Score (Weight * Rating) Comments	Rating	Score (Weight * Rating)	Comments	
Permitting	& Schedule		15%	6	-							_			-										
	Easement Acquisition	Expected need and complexity for easements to accomplish work		2	2	4	Easement from both sides of Canal	2	4	Easements from Wheelhouse and Graves	3	6	Easement from Kennedy	3	6	Easement from Kennedy and DRBA	4	8	JB easement only	Option has same benefits/issues related to crossing of the canal. Additional work is		² Option has same benefits/issues relate		ues related to the	
	Permitting	Expected complexity of permitting work		1	2	2	DelDOT MOT more impactful	2	2	DelDOT MOT more impactful	3	3	Bike Path Permitting / RR Permitting	2	2	Bike Path Permitting / RR Permitting	4	4	Least DelDOT Permitting Effort	required and re	d reflected as part of the Cost per Point review.		reflected as part of the Cost per Point review.		
					Subtotal =	6		Subtotal =	6		Subtotal =	9		Subtotal =	8		Subtotal =	12		Subtotal =	12	Subtotal =	12		
		Weighted Subto	al (Subtotal *	Model Weight)	=	0.9			0.9			1.35			1.2		1.8			1.8		1.8			
Community	y Impacts		20%	5	•			•			T	-	•	-								•			
	Stakeholder Impacts During Construction	Temporary impacts to the public during construction related to noise, road closures and other factors		3	1	3	Heart of downtown, more extensive road/lane closures	2	6	Heart of downtown, limited road closures	4	12	Limited impacts to traveling public, work in roads are lower capacity roads.	4	12	Limited impacts to traveling public, work in roads are lower capacity roads.	4	12	Limited impacts to traveling public	to Option has same benefits/issues related to th crossing of the canal. Additional work is required and reflected as part of the Cost pe Point review.		e Option has same benefits/issues related to the crossing of the canal. Additional work is required reflected as part of the Cost per Point review.		related to the	
	Constructability	Ease of construction process		2	1	2	Existing utilities & FM crossing prove difficult	2	4	Bore path is more open & less existing to work around	4	8	Relatively Open, long bores via marshland	3	6	Relatively Open, long bores via marshland	4	8	Relatively Open, existing electrical crossing may be issue.					ork is required and ar Point review.	
	Duration of work	Estimated length of project equating to impact to public		1	4	4	Shorter Duration than Queen Anne	4	4	Shorter Duration than Queen Anne	2	2	Longer Duration than Queen Anne	2	2	Longer Duration than Queen Anne	3	3	Middle Duration						
					Subtotal =	9		Subtotal =	14		Subtotal =	22		Subtotal =	20		Subtotal =	23		Subtotal =	23	Subtotal =	23		
		Weighted Subto	al (Subtotal *	Model Weight)	=	1.8		2.8			4.4			4			4.6			4.6		4.6			
Hydraulics	Hydraulic Analysis	Weighted total based on Hydraulic sub- table analysis (Table 3)	<u>65%</u>	1		20.2			20.2			23.7			23.2			14.7			15.1		16.3		
					Subtotal =	20.2		Subtotal =	20.2		Subtotal =	23.7		Subtotal =	23.2		Subtotal =	14.7		Subtotal =	15.1	Subtotal =	16.3		
		Weighted Subto	al (Subtotal *	Model Weight)	=	13.13			13.13			15.41			15.08			9.56			9.82		10.60		
		Sum	n of Weigh	hted Scores	:	15.83			16.83			21.16			20.28			15.96			16.22		17.00		

Table 6: MCA Summary										
Location	Sum of Waighted Scores	Cost Estimato	Cost per Point:							
Location	Sull of weighted scores	COSTESTIMATE	(Cost / Sum of Weighted Scores)							
Option 1-B: Savannah Crossing (North of Drawbridge)	16.83	\$ 1,496,750	\$ 88,900							
Option 4: Queen Anne (16" Crossing)	15.96	\$ 1,502,500	\$ 94,200							
Option 1-A: Savannah Crossing (South of Drawbridge)	15.83	\$ 1,534,125	\$ 96,900							
Option 3-A: Schley to American Legion Road	21.16	\$ 2,567,975	\$ 121,400							
Option 3-B: Schley to Cape Henlopen Drive	20.28	\$ 2,792,225	\$ 137,700							
Option 4-B: Queen Anne (16" Crossing + 12" Cedar Upsize)	17.00	\$ 3,012,500	\$ 177,300							
Option 4-A: Queen Anne (16" Crossing + 10" Cedar Upsize)	16.22	\$ 2,902,500	\$ 179,000							